

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 -----oo0oo-----

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
12 Plaintiff,

NO. CR. S-99-433 WBS

13 v.

ORDER

14 SON VAN NGUYEN,

15 Defendant.

16 -----oo0oo-----

17 Defendant Son Van Nguyen has filed a motion to set
18 aside his conviction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. In connection
19 with that motion, his court-appointed attorney has sought
20 authorization to incur investigator's expenses of \$4,800 to
21 travel to Memphis, Tennessee; Tallahatchie, Mississippi; Atlanta,
22 Georgia; Tucker, Georgia; San Francisco, California, and other
23 unspecified places to interview witnesses.

24 The Magistrate Judge previously approved expenses for
25 defendant's investigator to travel to the east coast to interview
26 co-defendant Thy Chann in connection with his recent assertions
27 that Son Van Nguyen was in fact innocent of the charges. Counsel
28 then requested authorization to incur the expenses for another

1 trip by her investigator to interview additional witnesses. The
2 Magistrate Judge denied that request without prejudice to a
3 submission of prima facie evidence of actual innocence. Counsel
4 sought reconsideration by the Magistrate Judge of his Order,
5 arguing that in-person contacts with the witnesses may overcome
6 their reluctance to discuss the matter by phone. The Magistrate
7 Judge denied that request for reconsideration, and counsel now
8 asks this court to reconsider the Magistrate Judge's orders.


9 For the reasons set forth by the Magistrate Judge in
10 his Memorandum of March 14, 2011, this court will deny the
11 request without prejudice at this time. The court notes that Son
12 Van Nguyen's trial took place more than seven years ago. The
13 court cannot be expected to recall all the details of the
14 evidence at trial, but it is the court's recollection that there
15 was substantial evidence to negate any inference that this
16 defendant, Son Van Nguyen, was not present at the time of the
17 offense. If the allegations of Thy Chann are what is giving rise
18 to the requested investigation, the court notes that Thy Chann
19 has written numerous letters to the court in which he states many
20 different things. For reasons which the court need not elaborate
21 upon here, his allegations are not entitled to credibility.

22 Nevertheless, the court would benefit from the input of
23 the United States Attorney, whose recollection of the testimony
24 at trial and other proceedings in this case would be more
25 complete than the court's recollection, in making its final
26 decision on defendant's present request. The court sees no
27 compelling reason why this order should be maintained under seal.
28 This matter will accordingly be calendared for hearing at **8:30**

1 a.m., on April 11, 2011. Counsel for defendant and the United
2 States shall appear. Defendant's presence shall not be required.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: March 23, 2011

5
6 

7 WILLIAM B. SHUBB

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28